

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,664		10/15/2001	Robert D. Herpst	3468	
26009	7590	05/12/2004		EXAMINER	
ROGER M. 13 MARGA			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
		ND, SC 29926		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		1743	
				DATE MAIL ED. 05/12/200.	A

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Sys977,654			Application No.	Applicant(a)	$-\alpha$				
Examiner					U				
Lyie A Alexander   1743   17		Office Action Summary							
Preind for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edimension from many be available under the previous of 3 C/RF 1.13(iii). In a overt, however, may a reply te timely titled to the previous of 3 C/RF 1.13(iii). In a overt, however, may a reply te timely titled to the previous of the many by available under the previous of 3 C/RF 1.13(iii). In a overt, however, may a reply te timely titled to the previous of the many by available under the previous of 3 C/RF 1.13(iii). In a overt, however, may a reply te timely titled to the previous of the many and the previous of 3 C/RF 1.13(iii). In a overt, however, may a reply te timely titled to the timely did from the previous of 3 C/RF 1.13(iii). In a contraction of the previous of the previous of the contraction of the previous of the previous of the previous of the contraction of the previous of the previous of the contraction of the previous of the previous of the contraction of the previous of the previous of the contraction of the previous of the previous of the previous of the contraction of the previous of the previous of the contraction of the previous		,							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In the MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the Period for reply specified by the first on the mailing date of this communication.  If the Period for reply specified by the first on the mailing date of this communication.  If the Period for reply specified by the first of the period of		The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	_						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the map be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after 9X (b) MCNTPS from the making date of the communication of the provisions of the communication of the provision of the provi			sears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2004.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 10-20,28,30-33,35-37 and 39-52 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,10-20,28,30-33,35-37 and 39-52 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  4Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  2)□ Notice of Testsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6)□ Other:  9)□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  9)□ Other:	THE - External form of the control o	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron t, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s)	Status		•						
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  1,2,10-20,28,30-33,35-37 and 39-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)  Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3  Notice of International Patent Application (PTO-152) 6  Notice of International Patent Application (PTO-152) 6  Other:  10 Other.  10 O	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
Al)	3) 🗌								
4)		closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	Dispositi	on of Claims							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Pafferences Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Pafferences Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) 🗌	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers  9	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Other:	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   S) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Other:	Applicati	on Papers	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All   b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:		·	or .						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Examiner					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	,								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					(d).				
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	, . ,					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			The state of the s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date				ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) POTO-152) Other:	* S		• • •	ad.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		and a list of the	21 272 COLUMN COPIOS HOLTOCOLIVE	. <u>.</u> .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Other:	Attachment	(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)							
	3) Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P						

Art Unit: 1743

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2,10,12,18-20,30-32,35,39,46-48 and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Persky et al.

Persky et al. teach in columns 1-2 that it is known to use metal band saws to cut alkali-halide scintillator crystals. The taught saw has been read on the claimed sawing and the taught scintillator crystal has been read on the claimed "sample holder".

Claims 1-2,12,15-19, 28,30-31,35-37,39-44 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Brown (USP 6,037,168).

Brown teaches a microbiological assembly (20) having a support (22) and a cover (30) attached in a hinged relationship. Columns 7-9 teach pressure sensitive adhesives or magnetic closure mechanism can be used to close the cover. The cover is taught as being made of a transparent glass material (see col. 12 lines 36+). Column 9 lines 46+ teach use of different materials including cellulose.

Claims 1-2,15-19, 15, 28, 30-31,35-37,39-44 and 46-47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chandler. (USP 5,869,345) or Bogart et al. (USP 5,468,606).

Chandler teaches a device (10) having a first opposable component (12) and a second opposable component (14) connected to each other by a hinge (30). There is a first window (40) and (38) are in the first and second components (12) and (14)

Art Unit: 1743

respectively. Adhesive (16) is taught for securing the first and second components together.

Bogart et al. teach in figure 8 a device (20) having a hinge (30) that connects upper half (32) and lower half (34). There are apertures/windows that permit the automated analysis of the sample.

Claims 1-2, 15-19, 28,30-31,34-37,39-44 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jacobson et al. (USP4, 260,687).

Jacobson et al. teach a diagnostic device for measuring biological characteristics of microorganisms that includes bacterial colonies. A device (10) has a plurality of interconnecting chambers and a hinged cover (19) that folds over the device (10).

Claims 1-2,15-19,28,30-31,35-37,39-44 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Shields et al. (USP 6,033,627).

Shields et al. teach a device (20) having a front panel (22) and bottom panel (24) joined by a hinge (26). Column 1 lines 10+ teach it is known to use adhesive, including pressure sensitive adhesives, to secure a cover panel shut Cellulose is taught as material of construction.

Claims 1-2,15-16, 18-19, 28, 30-31, 39-40 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ozbey et al. (USP 6,186,403).

Ozbey et al. teach a sample holder and method of using it in combination with a spectrometer. Figures 1-4 teach the holder as a rectangular card having a fold line equidistant from either end permitting the card to be folded in half to secure a sample therein. There is a window (116) through the card formed by the folding. Column 5

Art Unit: 1743

teaches adhesive strips (108,120) and pressure sensitive adhesive (110) that surrounds the window (116) that has been read on the claimed adhesive. Figure 13 teaches a "plate window" (406) in combination with a recess (404) that has been read on the multiple windows. Additionally, figure 13 shows a sliding relationship between window (406) and the recess (404).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 10-11,13-14,20, 32-33 and 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson et al., Shields et al., Chandler, Bogart et al., Brown or Persky et al..

Sée Jacobson et al. Shields et al. Chandler, Bogart et al., Persky et al. and Brown supra.

The art is silent to the claimed materials of construction of the glass, specifically the alkali halide such as potassium bromide/chloride and sodium chloride.

The court decided <u>In re Leshin</u> (125 USPQ 416) that selection of a material based upon its suitability of intended use would have been within the skill of the art in view of 35 USC 103.

Alkali halide crystal materials comprising potassium bromide/chloride or sodium chloride are well known in the art and advantageous because of their low cost, excellent optical properties and hardness.

**Art Unit: 1743** 

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Brown and use an alkali halide crystal materials comprising potassium bromide/chloride or sodium chloride to gain the above advantages and in view of Leshin because selection a material based upon its suitability of intended use.

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozbey et al., Jacobson et al. Shields et al. Chandler, Bogart et al. or Brown in view of Marker et al. (4,855,110)

Sée Ozbey et al., Jacobson et al. Shields et al. Chandler, Bogart et al. and Brown supra.

These references are silent to the use of a carousel for containing the slide to interface with an analyzer.

Marker et al. teach the use of a carousel in an automated analyzer. The carousel has the advantages of providing immediate access to all of the samples, which enables "stat" analysis of an important sample. Automated analyzers have the additional advantages of reducing human error, lower labor costs and permit 24/7 operations.

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Ozbey et al., Jacobson et al. Shields et al. Chandler, Bogart et al. or Brown in view of Marker et al. and use a carousel engaged with an automated analyzer to gain the above advantages.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1743

Applicants state all of the independent claims have been amended to better define the invention that the holding substrate is formed by one or more specific mechanical steps of cleaving, cutting, etc. MPEP 2113 states the patentability of a product does not depend upon its method of production. See *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695,698,227 UPSQ 966. Once a product appearing to be substantially identical is found and a 35 USC 102/103 rejection made, the burden shifts to the Applicant to show an unobvious difference. Applicant's comments have not addressed the differences in the product made by the claimed mechanical steps.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

\*\*\*